Protein Kinase C Epsilon Inhibitor Conjugated with Myristic Acid and Trans-Activator of Transcription Elicits Superior Cargo **Delivery and Cardioprotective Effects in Rat Myocardial Ischemia Reperfusion Injury**

Tameka C. Dean, Sunit G. Singh, Melinda Beale, Alison Baker, Megan Michaels, James Fagan, Erika Foerst, Chen Qian, Robert Barsotti, Lindon Young

Department of Bio-Medical Sciences, Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, 4170 City Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19131

Introduction

Rapid restoration of coronary blood flow is crucial to preserving cardiac tissue function following myocardial infarction, but it also results in additional insult known as myocardial ischemia/reperfusion (MI/R) injury.¹ MI/R injury may be attenuated by inhibiting reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation upon reperfusion during coronary angioplasty. Following cytokine-mediated activation, Protein Kinase C epsilon (PKCE) binds to its selective receptor for activated C kinase (RACK-1) and translocates from the cytosol to phosphorylate transmembrane protein targets, such as eNOS, shown in Fig. 1A & Fig. 2. Activated PKCE has been shown to increase reactive oxygen species (ROS) release, in part, by its stimulation of increased uncoupled endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) activity and opening of mitochondrial potassium ATP channels, shown in **Fig. 1**.^{2,3,4,5}



Figure 1. Panel A: the normal physiological response, without an inhibitor present. The normal physiological response is for PKC_{\varepsilon} translocation via RACK binding to interact with substrates, like eNOS. Panel B: PKCE inhibitor impedes interaction of PKCe and RACK-1 (adapted).⁶



Figure 2. Illustration of PKCE. PKCE binds to the variable region within the RACK-1 binding site (i.e., V1-V2 region) of PKCE to regulate its translocation to cellular proteins to phosphorylate its substrate (e.g. eNOS) (Adapted).





Myristic acid (Myr) and trans-activator of transcription (Tat) conjugation have independently demonstrated enhanced intracellular delivery of peptide cargo in animal studies via simple diffusion and endocytosis respectively, shown in Fig. 4.⁸ In separate pre-clinical studies, Myr-conjugation and Tat-conjugation with an inhibitory peptide of PKCE-(EAVSLKPT) have been shown to mitigate myocardial I/R injury via inhibiting ROS formation and cytokine release.^{9,10,11} Myr-PKCE- was effective at 5µM to 20 µM concentrations, but was not effective at 1µM concentration in reducing infarct size and restoring cardiac function in ex vivo rat hearts subjected to global I(30 min)/R(90 min).⁹ However, Tat-PKC ε -(0.46 mg/kg or ~5 μ M in blood) was determined to be ineffective in clinical studies.^{12,13} The absence of clinical significance with Tat-PKCE- may be due to limited penetration of the peptide cargo. By combining anchoring (Myr) and endocytic (Tat) mechanisms for synergistic intracellular delivery, we propose a dual Myr-Tat conjugated PKCε peptide inhibitor (Myr-Tat-PKCε-; N-Myr-Tat-CC-EAVSLKPT) for optimal cardioprotection.



Figure 4. Mechanisms of intracellular entry for unconjugated, Tat, Myr, and Myr-Tat-conjugated peptide cargo. Unconjugated peptide uses facilitated diffusion, requiring carrier protein for intracellular delivery. Tat-conjugated peptide cargo uses endocytosis-mediated entry as positive charge in Tat reacts with negative charge on cell membrane. CC or GG spacers facilitate liberation of peptide cargo intracellularly. Myr-conjugated peptide cargo uses simple diffusion into intracellular space. Myr-Tat conjugated peptides are proposed to enhance cargo delivery by employing synergistic mechanisms of Myr and Tat conjugation, thereby increasing the potency of cargo effects. (adapted).⁸

Aims/Hypothesis

This study aims to compare the cardioprotective effects of Myr conjugated, Tat-conjugated and Myr-Tat conjugated PKCε- (EAVSLKPT). Additionally, we aim to test PKCε- scrambled controls (LSETKPAV), Myr-PKCE-scram and Myr-Tat-PKCE-scram to further evaluate whether the proposed mechanism of action (i.e. inhibition of PKCε- translocation) is influenced by Myr- or Myr-Tat conjugation. We hypothesize the following:

- Myr-Tat-PKCE- should demonstrate the most robust cardioprotection, as indexed by infarct size reduction and improve post-reperfused cardiac function (i.e., maximal rise of left ventricular contraction, dP/dT max)
- PKCE- scrambled controls should not differ from non-drug treated controls.





Experimental Design

Table 1. Cardiac Function and Infarct Size I I/R + Tat-PKCε- (10 μM), I/R + Myr-PKCε- (10 treated hearts (100 nM) exhibited significant im dP/dt_{max} compared control I/R hearts that only r Initial and final coronary flow was approx 19±2 Tat PKC ε - (not shown). *p < 0.05 vs. control I/

| Cardiac Function and Infarct Size Indices | Control (n =5) | Tat-PKC ϵ Inhibitor $10 \mu M$ (n = 4) | Myr-PKCε Inhibitor $10 \mu M$ (n = 6) | Myr-Tat PKC ϵ Inhibitor $10 \mu M$ (n = 4) | Myr-Tat PKC ϵ Inhibitor 1 μ M (n = 5) | Myr-Tat PKCε Inhibitor 100 nM (n = 5) | Myr-Tat PKCε Inhibitor 1 nM (n = 5) | Myr-Tat PKCε Inhibitor 100 pM (n = 6) |
|--|-------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Initial +dP/dt _{max} (mmHg/sec) | 2478±87 | 2408±126 | 2296±88 | 2540±158 | 2493±128 | 2528±133 | 2441±72 | 2457±37 |
| Final +dP/dt _{max} (mmHg/sec) | 632±254 | 678±223 | 727±68 | 54±14 | 794± 220 | 1585±164* | 1147±156 | 1021±246 |
| Initial -dP/dt _{min} (mmHg/sec) | -1693±115 | -1735±149 | -1543±75 | -1772±141 | -1786±97 | -1807±125 | -1674±106 | -1726±49 |
| Final -dP/dt _{min} (mmHg/sec) | -464±163 | -576±176 | -701±137 | -56±14 | -616±122 | -981±135 | -733±68 | -688±142 |
| Initial LVDP (mmHg) | 93±3 | 86±5 | 89±4 | 92±3 | 94±5 | 89±4 | 91±4 | 89±3 |
| Final LVDP (mmHg) | 33±12 | 37±12 | 46±6 | 1±0.2 | 40±15 | 66±6 | 49±8 | 47±11 |
| Infarct Size: % Total Weight | 23±3 | 18±2 | 15±4 | 5±2** | 6±1** | 8±2** | 9±1* | 17±1 |
| Representative Mid-wall Heart Sections | | 0 | | | | | | |

Conclusions:

- Tat-conjugated PKCε peptide inhibitor.

Future Studies will:

- PKCε peptide inhibitor compared to controls in ex-vivo I/R animal models.

- 102:341-348.
- Teng JC, et al. Mechanisms related to cardioprotective effects of protein Kinase C epsilon peptide activator (PKC ε +) and inhibitor (PKC ε-) in rat ischemia/reperfusion injury. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's Arch of Pharmacol* 2008. 378(1):1-15. Perkins KA, et al. The effects of modulating eNOS activity and coupling in ischemia/reperfusion (I/R). Naunyn-Schmiedeberg's
- Arch of Pharmacol 2012. 385(1):27-38. Pomerantz BJ, et al. Selective mitochondrial KATP channel opening controls human myocardial preconditioning: Too much of a
- good thing? Surgery. 2000;128(2):368-373. Frangogiannis NG, et al. Resident cardiac mast cells degranulate and release preformed TNF-alpha, initiating the cytokine cascade in experimental canine myocardial ischemia/reperfusion. Circulation. 1998. 98(7):699-710. Csukai M., Mochly-Rosen D. Pharmacologic modulation of protein kinase C isozymes: The role of racks and subcellular
- localization. Pharmacol Res. 1999. 39(4): 253-259
- Young L., et al. Gö 6983: A Fast Acting Protein Kinase C Inhibitor that Attenuates myocardial Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury. Cardiovasc Drug Rev. 2005. 23(3):255-272.
- Benjamin I, et al. Comparison of the Effects of Myristoylated and Transactivating Peptide (TAT) Conjugated Mitochondrial Fission Peptide Inhibitor (P110) in Myocardial Ischemia/Reperfusion (I/R) Injury. Proceedings of the 24th American Peptide Symposium, Michal Lebl (Editor), American Peptide Society. 2015. 143-146.
- Mcintyre A, et al.. Protein kinase C epsilon peptide inhibitor exerts cardioprotective effects in myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury. Journal of Cardiobiology. 2018. 5(1):6.
- 0. Montgomery M, et al. Myristoylated protein kinase C epsilon peptide inhibitor exerts cardioprotective effects in rat and porcine myocardial ischemia/reperfusion: A translational research study. Proceedings of the 23rd American Peptide Symposium. 2013. 178-
- 11. Koyanagi T, et al.. Pharmacological inhibition of epsilon PKC suppresses chronic inflammation in murine cardiac transplantation model. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2007. 43(4):517-522.
- 12. Moodie et al. A Single-Center, Randomized, Double-Blind, Active, and Placebo-Controlled Study of KAI-1678, a Novel PKC-Epsilon Inhibitor, in the Treatment of Acute Postoperative Orthopedic Pain. Pain Medicine, 2013. 14:916-924.
- 13. Cousins, M., et al., The Safety and Efficacy of KAI-1678—An Inhibitor of Epsilon Protein Kinase C (PKC)—Versus Lidocaine and Placebo for the Treatment of Postherpetic Neuralgia: A Crossover Study Design. Pain Medicine 2013. 14:533-540.



Results

| ndices. Cardiac function initial (baseline) and final values for control I/R, | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 0 μ M) and I/R + Myr-Tat-PKC ϵ - hearts (10 μ M - 1 nM). Myr-Tat-PKC ϵ - | | | | | | | | | |
| provement in post-reperfused cardiac function and recovered to 63±4% for | | | | | | | | | |
| ecovered to 26±4% of initial baseline values respectively at 50 min R. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 ml/min and 10±2 ml/min , respectively, with the exception of 10 μ M Myr- | | | | | | | | | |
| R, **p < 0.01 vs. control I/R. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Discussion

• Myr-Tat-PKC_{\varepsilon} - Significantly salvages cardiac tissue over a wide concentration range (10,000x) compared to Myr- or

• Myr-Tat may be a platform technology to conjugate other types of cargo to facilitate intracellular delivery.

• Assess Myr-Tat vehicle without peptide cargo as a control in the pharmacological assessment of other Myr-Tatconjugated peptides (e.g. Myr-Tat-PKCε or Myr-Tat-PKCβII) in PMN SO release and myocardial I/R models. • Western blot and immunohistochemistry analysis to determine PKCε membrane localization in the presence of Myr-Tat

References

Bulluck H, Yellon DM & Hausenoly DJ. Reducing myocardial infarct size: challenges and future opportunities. *Heart*. 2016.